

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,010 06/25/2001		John J. Giobbi	47079-00046USP2	9971	
30223	7590 01/02/2003				
	& GILCHRIST, P.C.	EXAMINER			
<b>SUITE 2600</b>	ASHINGTON		CHERUBIN, YVESTE GILBERTE		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003	(4)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>3</b>	_			m					
1		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	2.				
Office Action Summary		09/891,010	)	GIOBBI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Yveste G. (	Cherubin	3713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	June 2001 .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Tr	nis action is r	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 10-22, 25</u> is/are rejected.									
	7) Claim(s) <u>8-9, 23-24</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) l	oe held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li></ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT <i>Continuation Sheet</i> .					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Recent Statutory Changes to 35 USC 102(e) .

Application/Control Number: 09/891,010

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the Application No. 09/891,010 filed on June 25, 2001 in which claims 1-25 are pending. It carries priority from Application No. 09/538934 filed on March 31, 2000.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7, 9-22, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker (US Patent No. 6,234,896).

As per claims 1-7, 9-22, 25, Walker discloses a gaming system including a network server connected to a plurality of gaming devices, comprising at least one of video poker machine, video blackjack machine, video roulette machine, video keno machine, video bingo machine and the like. Walker provides player tracking cards to players and

Application/Control Number: 09/891,010

Art Unit: 3713

a card reader and buttons (touchscreen) to enable players to input data into the machines. Each slot machine is adapted to receive player data such as ID number and to generate a gaming result and a corresponding payout parameter for each play. The player data and payout parameters from each slot machine are then transmitted to network server, via network interface. Walker further provides a system, wherein a player can terminate a video presentation at a gaming device and can resume display of the video presentation from the point of termination, at another time or gaming device. The reference to Walker is deemed to meet the limitations in this instant invention as claimed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8-9, 23-24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. US Patent No. 6,431,983 to Acres, which teaches method for providing incentive to play gaming devices connected by a network to a host computer.
- b. US Patent No. 6,319,127 to Walker et al., which teach gaming device and a method of operating same.
- c. US Patent No. 6,273,820 to Haste, III, which teaches virtual player gaming method.
- d. US Patent No. 4,752,068 to Endo, which teaches video game machine for business.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,010

Art Unit: 3713

e. US Patent No. 6,077,163 to Walker et al., which teach gaming device for a flat rate

play session and a method of operating same.

f. US Patent No. 6,012,983 to Walker et al., which teach automated play gaming device.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yveste G. Cherubin whose telephone number is

(703) 306-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

**December 13, 2002** 

Vac

JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

# Recent Statutory Changes to 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

On November 2, 2002, President Bush signed the 21st Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (H.R. 2215) (Pub. L. 107-273, 116 Stat. 1758 (2002)), which further amended 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) (Pub. L. 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501 (1999)). The revised provisions in 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) are completely retroactive and effective immediately for all applications being examined or patents being reexamined. Until all of the Office's automated systems are updated to reflect the revised statute, citation to the revised statute in Office actions is provided by this attachment. This attachment also substitutes for any citation of the text of 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), if made, in the attached Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of the AIPA and H.R. 2215 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as revised by the AIPA and H.R. 2215, applies to all qualifying references, except when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. For such patents, the prior art date is determined under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as it existed prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 102 prior to the amendment by the AIPA that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in the attached Office action:

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

For more information on revised 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) visit the USPTO website at www.uspto.gov or call the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (703) 305-1622.